Last Revised on 6/19/2015 8:39 AM

OSWER's Actions in OMB Queue

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
NHSM - Additions to Categorical Non-Waste Fuels (Non-Hazardous Secondary Material); SAN 5703; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
Vapor Intrusion Guidance; SAN 5247; Significant (OMB			
Confirmed)			

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
	Date	DCadillic:	

Title	Target Sig	Logal	Discussion of Priority
Tiue	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Phonity
	Date	Deadline?	
	l .	1	

Last Revised on 6/19/2015 8:39 AM

- '4	Last Neviseu OII	Ti.	Territoria de la constanta de
Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
	2410	Donamio	
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
	1		
		1	
		1	
		1	
Coal Combustion Residuals Generated by Electric Utilities; SAN 4470; Economically Significant (OMB			
Utilities: SAN 4470: Economically Significant (OMB			
Confirmed)			
Commineu	1		
	1		
	-		
	_		
		l	

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
Definition of Calid Waste, Degrapes to Jacob Paised in			
Definition of Solid Waste - Response to Issues Raised in Petition; SAN 5454; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			

Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
Dispersants - Revisions to Subpart J Product Schedule			
Listing Requirements; SAN 4526; Significant (OMB			
Confirmed)			
			-
e-Manifest Fees Rule; SAN TBD; Significant (EPA			
Suggested; yet to be requested)			
ouggested, yet to be requested)			

itle	Last Revised or Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority	
	·			
				ī
				ı
				1
				_

Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
		1	
Risk Management Plan Revisions; SAN TBD; Significant (EPA Suggested; yet to be requested)			
(EPA Suggested; yet to be requested)			
	•		

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
Improvements to the Hazardous Waste Generator Regulatory Program (Parts 261 - 265); SAN 5604; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
	-		

Last Revised on 6/19/2015 8:39 AM

Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Legal Deadline?	<u>'</u>
		1	
Underground Storage Tank (UST) Regulations - Revisions; SAN 5284; Economically Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
Revisions; SAN 5284; Economically Significant (OMB			
Confirmed)			
	ı İ		
	'		
		I	

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
CRT Rule – Revisions; SAN 5568; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			

Title	Toract Cir.	1 071372013 0.33	Discussion of Briggity
TITLE	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
	T		
			<u> </u>
Management Standards for Hazardous Waste Pharmaceuticals; SAN 5127; Significant (EPA Suggested;			
Pharmacouticals: SAN 5127: Significant (FDA Suggested:			
indimaceuticals, OAN 5127, Significant (Li A Suggesteu,			
yet to be requested)			

DRAFT -- DELIBERATIVE -- INTERNAL DOCUMENT Last Revised on 6/19/2015 8:39 AM Target Sig. | Logal | Discussion | Discussio

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
	1 - 3330		
Subsurface Intrusion HRS Revision (Hazard Ranking			
System - Vapor Intrusion); SAN 5535; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority

Title		1 0/19/2015 6.55	Discussion of Priority
Title	Target Sig	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
Hazardous Waste Export-Import Revisions Rule; SAN 5717; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
SAN 5717; Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
, ,			

Last Revised on 6/19/2015 8:39 AM

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deaulille?	

	-	1 0/ 13/ 2013 6.33	
Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
RCRA RD&D Permits Rule - Revisions; SAN 5649; Non-significant (EPA Suggested; yet to be requested)			
significant (EPA Suggested; yet to be requested)			
		L	Dogg 17 of 3

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
CERCLA/EPCRA Reporting Requirements for Air Releases; SAN 5484; Significant (OMB Confirmed) In response to petitions and mediation, EPA sought (and was granted) a voluntary remand without vacatur of the 2008 "CERCLA/EPCRA Administrative Reporting Exemption for Air Releases of Hazardous Substances from Animal Waste at Farms" which established exemptions from certain reporting requirements under CERCLA and EPCRA. In this action, EPA is reconsidering the Final Rule based on (1) policy choices that were initially made in the promulgation of the Final Rule, (2) views that were articulated by the Parties during the mediation process and (3) additional data that is now available. The additional data includes data that was	NPRM 2014 Final Long-term		On January 15, 2009, Waterkeeper Alliance, Sierra Club, the Humane Society of the United States, Environmental Integrity Project, the Center for Food Safety, and Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future (collectively, "Waterkeeper") filed a Petition for Review of the Final Rule. The petition challenged the exemptions under both CERCLA and EPCRA. On March 17, 2009, the National Pork Producers Council filed its Petition for Review challenging a portion of the Final Rule that amended the EPCRA regulations. The two cases were consolidated. On February 11, 2009, the National Chicken Council, National Turkey Federation, and U.S. Poultry & Egg Association moved to intervene on behalf of EPA to assert their interests in the Final Rule. The case was held in abeyance so that the Parties could participate in the D.C.

Circuit Mediation Program. While the mediation process did not resolve the issues raised by all of the Parties, it did raise issues warranting reconsideration of the final rule by EPA. A such, EPA sought and received a voluntary remand, without vacatur of the Final Rule during the re-evaluation period. Additional data pertinent to the rule has been collected as prof the National Air Emissions Monitoring Study (NAEMS). TI Science Advisory Board (SAB) has reviewed draft emissions estimating methodologies (EEMs) based on the NAEMS data. Circuit Mediation Program. While the mediation process did not resolve the issues raised by all of the Parties, it did raise issues warranting reconsideration of the final rule by EPA. A such, EPA sought and received a voluntary remand, without vacatur of the Final Rule during the re-evaluation period. Additional data pertinent to the rule has been collected as prof the National Air Emissions Monitoring Study (NAEMS). TI Science Advisory Board (SAB) has reviewed draft emissions estimating methodologies (EEMs) based on the NAEMS data OAR is reviewing the SAB recommendations. Because EPA intends to use the final EEMs as part of the proposed rule, the schedule for the publication of the proposed rule is depended on the finalization of those EEMs. To the extent we have discretion, how important is it to	Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
Study (NAEMS). EPA's Science Advisory Board (SAB) is currently evaluating draft emissions estimating methodologies (EEMs) based on the NAEMS data. In our resolve the issues raised by all of the Parties, it did raise issues warranting reconsideration of the final rule by EPA. A such, EPA sought and received a voluntary remand, without vacatur of the Final Rule during the re-evaluation period. Additional data pertinent to the rule has been collected as profit he National Air Emissions Monitoring Study (NAEMS). Till Science Advisory Board (SAB) has reviewed draft emissions estimating methodologies (EEMs) based on the NAEMS data. OAR is reviewing the SAB recommendations. Because EPA intends to use the final EEMs as part of the proposed rule, it schedule for the publication of those EEMs. To the extent we have discretion, how important is it to get the action done in this time (relative to other actions and why? (Address high, medium, low priority of this action.) How controversial the action is likely to be with				
	Study (NAEMS). EPA's Science Advisory Board (SAB) is currently evaluating draft emissions estimating methodologies	Date	Deadline?	Additional data pertinent to the rule has been collected as part of the National Air Emissions Monitoring Study (NAEMS). The Science Advisory Board (SAB) has reviewed draft emissions estimating methodologies (EEMs) based on the NAEMS data. OAR is reviewing the SAB recommendations. Because EPA intends to use the final EEMs as part of the proposed rule, the schedule for the publication of the proposed rule is dependent on the finalization of those EEMs. To the extent we have discretion, how important is it to get the action done in this time (relative to other actions) and why? (Address high, medium, low priority of this action.) How controversial the action is likely to be with

T:41a		10/19/2015 6.55	
Title	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
			•
			Is this an action that, even if it is a lower priority,
			should be a candidate for a non-significance
			determination/re-determination or (realistically) merits
			lesser OIRA scrutiny (i.e., expedited OMB review)?
			Explain.
			Ελ ριαιτί.
To America (to Oten Ion Ion I Dure Con All			
To Amend the Standards and Practices for All			
Appropriate Inquiries under CERCLA; SAN TBD; Non-			
significant (EPA Suggested; yet to be requested)			

Title	Target Sig Date	Legal Deadline?	Discussion of Priority
CERCLA §108(b) - Hard Rock Mining; SAN 5350.1; Economically Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
Economically Significant (OMB Confirmed)			
		L	

Title	Torget Sig		
Tiue	Target Sig	Legal	Discussion of Priority
	Date	Deadline?	
Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act: Amendments and Streamlining Rule; SAN 3215.1; Non-			
Amendments and Streamlining Rule; SAN 3215.1; Non-			
Significant (EPA Suggested; yet to be requested)			
organicanic (=: 71 ouggestou, yet to no requestou)			
			-
		L	

Deadline?	
	Deadline?